

Supplemental Tables - Judge Laurie White, Section A


2012 Ranking: 7 (out of 12)


2011 Ranking: Tie for 7 (out of 12)


2010 Ranking: 9 (out of 12)


2009 Ranking: 5 (out of 12)

2008 Ranking: Tie for 4 (out of 11)*









^{*} Judge White's first full year as a member of the judiciary was 2008





Judge Lynda Van Davis, Section B


2012 Ranking: Tie for 10th (out of 12)


2011 Ranking: 12 (out of 12) 2010 Ranking: Tie for 10th (out of 12)

2009 Ranking: 12 (out of 12) 2008 Ranking: 9 (out of 11) 2007 Ranking: 9 (out of 11)














Supplemental Tables – Judge Benedict Willard, Section C


2012 Ranking: Tie for 10th (out of 12)


2011 Ranking: 11 (out of 12) 2010 Ranking: Tie for 10th (out of 12)















Supplemental Tables – Judge Frank A. Marullo, Jr., Section D


2012 Ranking: Tie for 6 (out of 12)


2011 Ranking: Tie for 7 (out of 12) 2010 Ranking: Tie for 4th (out of 12) 2009 Ranking: 4 (out of 12) 2008 Ranking: 6 (out of 11)

2007 Ranking: Tie for 7 (out of 11)














Supplemental Tables – Judge Keva Landrum-Johnson, Section E


2012 Ranking: 2 (out of 12) 2011 Ranking: 4 (out of 12)

2010 Ranking: 2 (out of 12) 2009 Ranking: 7 (out of 12)*









^{*} Judge Landrum-Johnson's first full year as a member of the judiciary was 2009





Supplemental Tables – Judge Robin D. Pittman, Section F


2012 Ranking: Tie for 4th (out of 12)


2011 Ranking: 2 (out of 12)

2010 Ranking: Tie for 4 (out of 12) 2009 Ranking: 2 (out of 12)*











^{*} Judge Pittman's first year as a member of the judiciary was 2009





Supplemental Tables – Judge Julian Parker, Section G


2012 Ranking: 12 (out of 12) 2011 Ranking: 10 (out of 12) 2010 Ranking: 8 (out of 12)














Supplemental Tables – Judge Camille Buras, Section H


2012 Ranking: Tie for 4th (out of 12)


2011 Ranking: 3 (out of 12) 2010 Ranking: 7 (out of 12) 2009 Ranking: 6 (out of 12)


2008 Ranking: Tie for 4th (out of 11)

2007 Ranking: 4 (out of 11)














Supplemental Tables – Judge Karen K. Herman, Section I


2012 Ranking: 1 (out of 12) 2011 Ranking: 1 (out of 12)

2010 Ranking: 1 (out of 12) 2009 Ranking: 1 (out of 12)*









^{*} Judge Herman's first year as a member of the judiciary was 2009


** Judge Herman did not have any judge trials in 2012





Supplemental Tables - Judge Darryl Derbigny, Section J


2012 Ranking: 9 (out of 12) 2011 Ranking: 9 (out of 12) 2010 Ranking: 6 (out of 12)

2009 Ranking: 8 (out of 12) 2008 Ranking: 8 (out of 11) 2007 Ranking: 11 (out of 11)














Supplemental Tables – Judge Arthur L. Hunter, Jr., Section K


2012 Ranking: 8 (out of 12) 2011 Ranking: 5 (out of 12) 2010 Ranking: 12 (out of 12)

2009 Ranking: 11 (out of 12) 2008 Ranking: 11 (out of 11) 2007 Ranking: 10 (out of 11)















Supplemental Tables – Judge L. Franz Zibilich, Section L

2012 Ranking: 3 (out of 12)*









^{* 2012} was Judge Zibilich's first year as a member of the judiciary



Supplemental Tables – Felony Case Continuances

One potential source of delay to criminal case processing is continuances. Cases are continued when a judge grants a state or defense motion for a case to be continued rather than move forward with a hearing or trial. If the state and defense jointly request a continuance, a judge does not have discretion to deny the joint continuance. The Metropolitan Crime Commission collected data on continuances for all felony cases that closed in 2012 and the exhibit below shows average numbers of continuances for each judge. It does not include judicial continuances such as when a section of court was unexpectedly closed.

Average Continuances per Case in 2012

Judge	State	Defense	Joint	Total Continuances
Marullo	0.63	0.83	0.25	1.72
Landrum-Johnson	0.62	0.96	0.22	1.80
Buras	0.67	0.93	0.23	1.82
Derbigny	0.70	1.01	0.33	2.05
Van Davis	0.97	0.74	0.38	2.09
Herman	0.50	1.36	0.45	2.30
Court Average	0.77	1.11	0.47	2.35
Hunter	1.00	1.23	0.30	2.54
Pittman	0.80	1.18	0.63	2.62
Parker	1.03	1.19	0.46	2.67
Zibilich	0.46	1.99	0.24	2.69
White	0.98	0.74	1.32	3.05
Willard	1.03	0.94	1.09	3.06

Source: Judicial Administrator of Criminal District Court, OPSO, Orleans Parish District Attorney's Office

The court averaged 2.35 continuances per cases. There was an average of 1.11 defense continuances per case which was the most common type of continuance. The state or prosecution averaged less than one (0.77) continuance per case, and there was an average of 0.47 joint continuances per case. In total, 58% of cases had at least one continuance.

Numbers of continuances did not fluctuate greatly across sections of court. Continuances had a relatively low range from a high of 3.06 average continuances per case in Judge Willard's section of court to a low of 1.72 continuances per case in Judge Marullo's section of court. No single judge stands out as having significantly more or fewer continuances than his or her peers.

Continuances do not appear to impact judges' overall case processing times. Having above average continuances did not consistently translate into longer case processing times. For example, Judge White had the second-highest number of continuances and averaged 3.05 continuances per case while Judge White's median case processing time of 175 days was generally consistent with overall court performance.

The exhibit below presents average continuances based upon the types of felony case along with the median case processing time for specific types of cases. As it shows, there were slightly more continuances in cases with greater median case processing times.

Average Continuances and Median Case Processing Times for Specific Types of Cases in 2012

	Average State Continuances	Average Defense Continuances	Average Joint Continuances	Average Total Continuances	Median Case Processing Time
Violent Felony	1.19	1.34	.69	3.22	220 days
Weapons Felony	1.13	1.55	.61	3.29	204 days
Property felony	.57	.77	.39	1.73	124 days
Drug Felony	.60	1.13	.39	2.13	146 days
Other Felony	.59	.84	.36	1.79	122.5 days
Total	.77	1.11	.47	2.35	155 days



Supplemental Tables – Judge and Jury Trials

The exhibits below present total numbers and dispositions of felony judge and jury trials that closed in each section of court during 2012. Cases with felony charges that were reduced to misdemeanor charges are not included. For example, if a defendant was charged with aggravated battery but found guilty of simple battery in trial, then the case would not be included in the count of trials presented below.

2012 Felony Judge Trials

Judge	Found Guilty as Charged	Found Guilty of a Lesser Charge	Found Not Guilty	Total Judge Trials
White	0	0	4	4
Van Davis	1	0	7	8
Willard	4	3	10	17
Marullo	6	0	24	30
Landrum-Johnson	0	0	2	2
Pittman	1	0	0	1
Parker	2	0	1	3
Buras	0	0	3	3
Herman	0	0	0	0
Derbigny	2	2	2	6
Hunter	1	6	10	17
Zibilich	1	1	4	6
Total	18	12	67	97

Source: Judicial Administrator of Criminal District Court, Orleans Parish Sheriff's Office, Orleans Parish District Attorney's Office

Jury trials include only cases that concluded with a trial verdict. Cases in which a jury was impaneled and a defendant later pled guilty before a verdict was rendered, hung juries, and mistrials are not counted in the statistics presented below.

2012 Felony Jury Trials

Judge	Found Guilty as Charged	Found Guilty of a Lesser Charge	Found Not Guilty	Total Jury Trials
White	0	5	3	8
Van Davis	3	1	1	5
Willard	5	6	5	16
Marullo	1	2	3	6
Landrum-Johnson	1	0	3	4
Pittman	3	2	5	10
Parker	1	0	1	2
Buras	7	4	0	11
Herman	3	0	3	6
Derbigny	10	1	1	12
Hunter	6	1	7	14
Zibilich	13	4	2	19
Total	53	26	34	113

Source: Judicial Administrator of Criminal District Court, Orleans Parish Sheriff's Office, Orleans Parish District Attorney's Office